

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 08/29/2003 HONPT17 1032 10/652,625 Hak-Mo Jung 09/20/2005 **EXAMINER** 49691 7590 **IP STRATEGIES** FORD, JOHN K 12 1/2 WALL STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE I ASHEVILLE, NC 28801 3753

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/652,62	25	JUNG, HAK-MO		
		Examiner	,	Art Unit		
		John K. Fe		3753		
Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication		4			
WHICHEVER IS LC - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS f - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within th Any reply received by th	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI ONGER, FROM THE MAILIN be available under the provisions of 37 Cf rom the mailing date of this communicatio specified above, the maximum statutory p e set or extended period for reply will, by s e Office later than three months after the stment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even in. eriod will apply and wistatute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, ,	
Status						
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on _					
·						
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	;					
4a) Of the above 5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s)		ndrawn from coi				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specificat	tion is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119					
 12) ★ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ★ All b) Some * c) None of: 1. ★ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References			4) Interview Summary			
	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI e		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/652,625

Art Unit: 3753

The Examiner needs a complete copy of the Korean reference cited on the PTO1449 form in this application. Currently, the Examiner only has the English-language
Abstract of the document.

It is also noted for the record that an application corresponding to the current one has been filed in Japan (as Application JP 2003-326030) corresponding to publisherd Japanese application JP 2004-308401 (cited with this office action). Ant prior art from any foreign patent office pertaining to any application corresponding to the current one is required now or as soon as possible after it is received.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

first species of guide plates (not illustrated in a drawing Figure) wherein the guide plates are integrally formed on the lower side of the upper plate as disclosed on page 9, lines 9-20 of the specification (and claimed in claim 3) and

second species of guide plates (as illustrated in Figure 3) wherein the guide plates engage into recesses in the lower side of the upper plate (and claimed in claim 4).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears to be generic (and claim 3 appears to be improperly dependent from sub-generic and/or mis-descriptive claim 2, in so far as claim 2 states that the "recess parts" (17) are where the "support legs" 13 are

Art Unit: 3753

located, when, in fact, the "recessed parts" (17) are where the guide plates (15a, 15b) are located).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John K. Ford at telephone number 571-272-4911.

Primary Examiner